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## Using groups in cryptography

- Diffie-Hellman key-exchange protocol
- El-Gamal cryptosystem
- Electronic signature


## Security related to hardness of the discrete logarithm problem




A Google certificate

## The discrete logarithm problem (DLP)

Generic attacks on discrete logarithm use at least $O\left(\sqrt{\# G_{1}}\right)$ operations in $G$, where $\# G_{1}$ is the largest prime factor of $\# G$.

- Multiplicative group of finite fields : subexponential methods to compute logarithm.
- Elliptic curves : no known algorithm doing better for general elliptic curves


## DLP on elliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$

Faster methods exist for special classes of elliptic curves in which DLP can be transported to a group where it is easier to solve :

- MOV/Frey-Rück attack : transport DLP in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ where $q=p^{t}$ and $t$ is the smallest integer such that $p^{t}=1 \bmod \# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$
- Anomalous attack : $\# E=p$, DLP can be transported to $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$


## Why compute the number of points of an elliptic curve?

- To ensure the difficulty of the DLP.
- Some protocols (e.g. ECDSA) need \#E for calculations.

Finding an elliptic curve suitable for cryptography requires a lot of computations.
$\longrightarrow$ need to have a fast point counting algorithm.

## PARI/GP

- SEA algorithm implemented in a PARI module : ellsea.c.
- Used in GP via the ellcard() function.
- Implementation based on Reynald Lercier's thesis (1997).
- Improvement have been proposed since.


## My internship's goal

Study, implementation within PARI/GP and comparison of two articles:

- «Computing the eigenvalue in the Schoof-Elkies-Atkin algorithm using Abelian lifts » (Mihăilescu, Morain \& Schost),
- «Fast algorithms for computing the eigenvalue in the Schoof-Elkies-Atkin algorithm »(Gaudry \& Morain).


## Schoof's algorithm

First polynomial algorithm published by Schoof in 1985.
Led to cryptography based on elliptic curves randomly selected.
Basic idea of the algorithm $\left(\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{F}_{p}, p>3, E: y^{2}=x^{3}+A x+B\right)$ :

- Frobenius's endomorphism $\varphi:(x, y) \mapsto\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)$ verifies :
$\varphi^{2}-t \varphi+p i d_{E}=0$,
$t$ is called the trace of $\varphi$ and is linked to $\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ by :

$$
\# E\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)=p+1-t \quad \text { and } \quad|t| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{p}
$$

- $t \bmod \ell$ is computed for small primes $\ell$,
- one is able to compute $t$ as soon as $\prod \ell>4 \sqrt{p}$,
- number of $\ell$ required: $O(\log p)$, size of $\ell$ used : $O(\log p)$


## Schoof's algorithm

## Computation of $t \bmod \ell$

- Calculations are done in
$E[\ell]=\left\{P \in E\left(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}\right) \operatorname{tq}[\ell] P=\mathcal{O}\right\}$
This group contains $\ell^{2}$ points whose coordinates live in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$ (for $\ell \neq p$ )
- $E[\ell]$ is described by a polynomial $\psi_{\ell}$ : roots of $\psi_{\ell}$ are abscissae of $E[\ell]$ points,
- for $P \in E[\ell], t \bmod \ell$ is the value such that:
$\varphi^{2}(P)+[p \bmod \ell] P=[t \bmod \ell] \varphi(P)$
- $\operatorname{deg} \psi_{\ell}=\frac{\ell^{2}-1}{2}=O\left(\ell^{2}\right)$


Representation of $E[5]$

## Schoof's algorithm

## Computation of $t \bmod \ell$

To search $t \bmod \ell$, let $P \in E[\ell]$ and try all the values $\tau \in \llbracket 0, \ell-1 \rrbracket$ until the following relation holds :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{2}(P)+[p \bmod \ell] P=[\tau] \varphi(P) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- A priori, $\ell$-torsion point coordinates belong to $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{p}$, $\rightarrow$ must work with abstract $\ell$-torsion represented by :

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\psi}=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x, y] /\left(\psi_{\ell}(x), y^{2}-x^{3}-A x-B\right)
$$

- In $\mathcal{A}_{\psi}, P=(x, y)$ is a $\ell$-torsion point and the equality (1) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{p^{2}}, y^{p^{2}}\right)+[p \bmod \ell](x, y)=[\tau]\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Schoof's algorithm

## Schoof's algorithm complexity

Exponentiation dominates complexity in the algorithm

- $\ell=O(\log p)$, using $O(\log p) \ell$,
- for a given $\ell$, computations of $x^{p}$ and $x^{p^{2}}$ modulo $\psi_{\ell}: O\left(\ell^{4} \log ^{3} p\right)$,
- idem for $y^{p}$ and $y^{p^{2}}$,
$\longrightarrow$ complexity in $O\left(\log ^{8} p\right)$.

Too much for an efficient use in cryptography.

## Improvements by Elkies and Atkin (1)

## Diagonalize the Frobenius

- $\varphi_{\mid E[\ell]}$ can be represented by a $2 \times 2$ matrix,
- The characteristic polynomial of $\varphi_{[E[\ell]}$ is $x^{2}-t x+p \bmod \ell$, its discriminant is $\Delta_{\ell}=t^{2}-4 p \bmod \ell$,
- case $\Delta_{\ell}$ is a nonzero square in $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ then :
- $\varphi_{\mid E[\ell]}$ is diagonalizable,
- working on a one-dimensional eigenspace,
- computing one eigenvalue $\lambda$ is enough (because $t=\lambda+\frac{p}{\lambda} \bmod \ell$ )
- case $\Delta_{\ell}=0: \Delta_{\ell}=0 \Leftrightarrow t^{2}=4 p \bmod \ell$ so $t= \pm 2 \sqrt{p} \bmod \ell$,
- case $\Delta_{\ell}$ is not a square : only a subset of possible values for $t$
- determination of whether $\Delta_{\ell}$ is a square in $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}$ can be deduced from the splitting type of the $\ell$-th modular polynomial : not the topic

A prime number $\ell$ such that $\varphi_{\mid E[\ell]}$ is diagonalizable is an Elkies prime, otherwise $\ell$ is an Atkin prime.

## Improvements by Elkies and Atkin (2)

## Working on an eigenspace of $E[\ell]$

- for an Elkies prime $\ell$ one can compute a subgroup of $E[\ell]$, denoted $C_{\lambda}$ (one-dimensional eigenspace of $\varphi_{\mid E[\ell]}$ )
- $C_{\lambda}$ is described by a polynomial $f_{\ell}$ which divides $\psi_{\ell}$,
- $\operatorname{deg} f_{\ell}=O(\ell) \quad\left(\operatorname{deg} \psi_{\ell}=O\left(\ell^{2}\right)\right)$
- let $P \in C_{\lambda}, \lambda$ is the value such that:

$$
\varphi(P)=[\lambda] P
$$



Subgroup of $E[5]$

## Improvement by Elkies and Atkin (3)

- Let $P \in C_{\lambda}$, searching $\lambda$ such that : $\varphi(P)=[\lambda] P$, $\rightarrow$ working in $\mathcal{A}_{f}=\mathbb{F}_{p}[x, y] /\left(f_{\ell}(x), y^{2}-x^{3}-A x-B\right)$.
- Search of $\lambda \in \llbracket 1, \ell-1 \rrbracket$ such that : $\left(x^{p}, y^{p}\right)=[\lambda](x, y)$.


## Complexity

- Exponentiation dominates complexity :

Computations mod $f_{\ell}$ instead of $\bmod \psi_{\ell}$ $\left(\operatorname{deg} f_{\ell}=O(\ell), \operatorname{deg} \psi_{\ell}=O\left(\ell^{2}\right)\right)$ $\longrightarrow O\left(\log ^{6} p\right)$

- Computation of $f_{\ell}$ costs $O\left(\ell^{2} \log ^{2} p\right)$


## Optimised search of the eigenvalues

In the following, $\ell$ is an Elkies prime

Three different algorithms for eigenvalue search

- Implemented in PARI : exhaustive search.
- Optimisation 1 : baby-step giant-step algorithm(Gaudry-Morain),
- Optimisation 2 : MMS algorithm (Mihăilescu-Morain-Schost)


## Implemented in PARI : exhaustive search

## Principle

- only testing ordinates
- opposite of a point is free : $P=(x, y) \Rightarrow[-1] P=(x,-y)$
- $([i] P)_{y}=y \cdot\left(P_{i, y}(x)\right) \longrightarrow$ only using $x$,
- Frobenius computation : $y^{p-1}=\left(x^{3}+A x+B\right)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$
- $y^{p} \stackrel{?}{=} \pm(P)_{y}, y^{p} \stackrel{?}{=} \pm([2] P)_{y}, \ldots, y^{p} \stackrel{?}{=} \pm\left(\left[\frac{\ell-1}{2}\right] P\right)_{y}$
$\longrightarrow O(\ell)$ operations in the curve to find $\lambda$


## Baby-step giant-step

## Principle

- time-memory trade-off,
- search $1 \leqslant i, \pm j \leqslant\lceil\sqrt{\ell}\rceil$ such that: $[i] \varphi(P)=[j] P$ with $P \in C_{\lambda}$
- if a collision is found : $\lambda=j / i \bmod \ell$
- Algorithm :
- precompute and store multiples of $P$
- compute multiples of $\varphi(P)$ and search for a collision in the table of multiples of $P$.
- find the sign of the eigenvalue
$\longrightarrow O(\sqrt{\ell})$ operations in the curve to find $\lambda$ (but need to store $O(\sqrt{\ell})$ abscissae)


## Baby-step giant-step

## Implementation of baby-step giant-step

- calculations in projective coordinates :
- only computing abscissae of multiples and using division polynomials for calculations
- abscissae are fractions $\frac{a_{i}}{b_{i}}$, storing couples ( $a_{i}, b_{i}$ ),
- equality test between two fractions (ie collision) evaluated with a linear form
- collision found $\longrightarrow \lambda$ known up to sign :
- $\ell \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ : need to compute ordinates of the collision points to determinate the sign
Gaudry-Morain propose a method to recover $x^{p}$ from $y^{p}$ with a gcd computation whose cost is inferior to the cost of computing $x^{p}$ and $y^{p}$.
- $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ : conclusion with Dewaghe's formula .


## Dewaghe's formula

Let $\ell \equiv 3 \bmod 4, \lambda_{0}$ be the eigenvalue known up to sign and $r$ be the resultant of $f_{\ell}$ and $x^{3}+A x+b$, then :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left(\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\ell}\right)\left(\frac{r}{p}\right) \lambda_{0} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, to obtain the eigenvalue one only needs to :

- compute a resultant between a degree $\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ polynomial and a degree 3 polynomial
- compute two Legendre symbols
- apply formula (3).


## MMS

## Principle

- $\lambda \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\right)^{*} \Rightarrow \log (\lambda) \in \mathbb{Z} /(\ell-1) \mathbb{Z}$,
- $q_{1} q_{2}=\ell-1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=1$
- search for $\log (\lambda) \bmod q_{1}$,
- search for $\log (\lambda) \bmod q_{2}$,
- $\log (\lambda) \bmod \ell-1$ is computed with the CRT and $\lambda$ is obtained.
- intensive use of modular composition

$\bmod q_{1} \bmod q_{2} \bmod q_{3} \bmod q_{4}$

$$
q_{1} q_{2}=\ell_{1}-1 \quad q_{3} q_{4}=\ell_{2}-1
$$

## MMS

## Computation of $q \mid \ell-1, q$ odd :

Let $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda}=\mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /\left(f_{\ell}\right), n=\frac{\ell-1}{2}$ and $P \in C_{\lambda}$.

- $f_{\ell}(X)=\prod_{a=1}^{n}\left(X-([a] P)_{x}\right)$
- $\exists C \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$ permutating the roots of $f_{\ell} \mathrm{tq}$ :

$$
x \rightarrow C(x) \rightarrow C^{(2)}(x) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow C^{(n)}(x)=x
$$

- from the definition of $C, \exists v$ such that:

$$
(\varphi(P))_{x}=([\lambda] P)_{x}=C^{(v)}(x)
$$

- $\exists \eta_{0} \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}$ such that :

$$
\eta_{0} \rightarrow C\left(\eta_{0}\right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow C^{(q)}\left(\eta_{0}\right)=\eta_{0}
$$

( $M$ is the minimal polynomial of $\eta_{0}, \operatorname{deg}(M)=q$ )

## MMS

## Morain-Mihăilescu-Schost algorithm ( $q$ odd)

- let $c$ be a generator of $(\mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z})^{*}$ and $x=\log _{c} \lambda$.
- let $q$ odd such that : $q \mid \ell-1$,
- denote $q^{\prime}=\frac{\ell-1}{2 q}$ so $\left.H=<c^{q}\right\rangle, K=<c^{q^{\prime}}>$. Compute :

$$
\eta_{0}=\sum_{a \in H} g_{a}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{q^{\prime}-1} g_{h^{j}}(x) \text { and } \eta_{1}=\eta_{0}\left(g_{k}(x)\right) \quad\left(\text { for } x \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda}\right)
$$

$$
\text { where } g_{a} \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \text { and } g_{a}(x)=([a] P)_{x}, P=(x, y) \in C_{\lambda}
$$

- there exists $C \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$ such that : $C\left(\eta_{0}\right)=\eta_{1}$,
- compute $M, \eta_{0}$ minimal polynomial, whose degree is $q$,
- computation of $X^{p}$ modulo $M$ and iterates of $C$ (for composition) leads to $\times \bmod q$
- using the CRT to conclude


## MMS

- finding $v$ such that $(\varphi(P))_{x}=([\lambda] P)_{x}=C^{(v)}(x)$ uses a baby step giant step algorithm
- computing are more expensive when $q$ is even : requires constructions dealing with the ordinates, cost roughly doubles
- complexity is hard to evaluate : two different contributions, not always the same dominating


## Comparison of the methods



256-bits curves


512-bits curves

Relation between the time (ms) to compute the eigenvalue and $\ell$. (100 curves measured)

## Comparison of the methods



Relation between the time (ms) to compute the eigenvalue and $\ell$.

## Comparison of the methods

## Conclusion

- BSGS is a significative improvement compared to exhaustive search,
- BSGS becomes rapidly quicker,
- clear difference for 300-bits curves and for larger curves,
- MMS is said to be quicker than BSGS in the article but not for cryptographic sizes (at least with my implementation) :
- benchmarks on the paper are made on a 8000 -bits curve!
- however optimisations of my implementation are possible.
- some ide as to improve my implementation of MMS :
- suggested in the article : some computations made with an even $q$ can be used for computations with odd $q$,
- comp are the different factorizations of $\ell-1$ and use the optimal decomposition : $\ell-1=q_{1} q_{2}$.


## Conclusion

## Some ideas to improve SEA in PARI/GP

- any improvement of polynomial arithmetic will improve performance of the SEA algorithm
- BSGS can be applied in the isogeny cycles case : once $\lambda \bmod \ell$ is found it is sometimes possible to find $\lambda \bmod \ell^{m}$ for some integers $m$.
- for an Elkies prime, finding the factor $f_{\ell}$ of the division polynomial requires to compute an isogenous curve of degree $\ell$. The algorithm used in PARI/GP is not in the state of the art : the most efficient algorithm has been published by Bostan-Morain-Salvy-Schost. Implement BMSS would improve the speed of SEA.


## Thank you for your attention, any questions?

