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## Introduction

Elliptic functions

- $F\left(z+\omega_{1} m+\omega_{2} n\right)=F(z), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$
- Can assume $\omega_{1}=1$ and $\omega_{2}=\tau \in \mathbb{H}=\{\tau \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0\}$

Elliptic integrals

- $\int R(x, \sqrt{P(x)}) d x$; inverses of elliptic functions

Modular forms/functions on $\mathbb{H}$

- $F\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=(c \tau+d)^{k} F(\tau)$ for $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right) \in P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$
- Related to elliptic functions with fixed $z$ and varying lattice parameter $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}=\tau \in \mathbb{H}$

Jacobi theta functions (quasi-elliptic functions)

- Used to construct elliptic and modular functions


## Numerical evaluation

Lots of existing literature, software (Pari/GP, Sage, Maple, Mathematica, Matlab, Maxima, GSL, NAG, ...).

This talk will mostly review standard techniques (and many techniques will be omitted).

My goal: general purpose methods with

- Rigorous error bounds
- Arbitrary precision
- Complex variables

Implementations in the C library Arb (http://arblib.org/)

## Why arbitrary precision?

Applications:

- Mitigating roundoff error for lengthy calculations
- Surviving cancellation between exponentially large terms
- High order numerical differentiation, extrapolation
- Computing discrete data (integer coefficients)
- Integer relation searches (LLL/PSLQ)
- Heuristic equality testing

Also:

- Can increase precision if error bounds are too pessimistic

Most interesting range: $10-10^{5}$ digits. (Millions, billions...?)

## Ball/interval arithmetic

A real number in Arb is represented by a rigorous enclosure as a high-precision midpoint and a low-precision radius:

$$
\left[3.14159265358979323846264338328 \pm 1.07 \cdot 10^{-30}\right]
$$

Complex numbers: $\left[m_{1} \pm r_{1}\right]+\left[m_{2} \pm r_{2}\right] i$.
Key points:

- Error bounds are propagated automatically
- As cheap as arbitrary-precision floating-point
- To compute $f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \square \approx \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \square$ rigorously, only need analysis to bound $\left|\sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \square\right|$
- Dependencies between variables may lead to inflated enclosures. Useful technique is to compute $f([m \pm r])$ as $[f(m) \pm s]$ where $s=|r| \sup _{|x-m| \leq r}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|$.


## Reliable numerical evaluation

Example: $\sin \left(\pi+10^{-35}\right)$
IEEE 754 double precision result: $1.2246467991473532 \mathrm{e}-16$
Adaptive numerical evaluation with Arb:
64 bits: $\left[ \pm 6.01 \cdot 10^{-19}\right]$
128 bits: $\left[-1.0 \cdot 10^{-35} \pm 3.38 \cdot 10^{-38}\right]$
192 bits: $\left[-1.00000000000000000000 \cdot 10^{-35} \pm 1.59 \cdot 10^{-57}\right]$
Can be used to implement reliable floating-point functions, even if you don't use interval arithmetic externally:


## Elliptic and modular functions in Arb

- $P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ transformations and argument reduction
- Jacobi theta functions $\theta_{1}(z, \tau), \ldots, \theta_{4}(z, \tau)$
- Arbitrary $z$-derivatives of Jacobi theta functions
- Weierstrass elliptic functions $\wp^{(n)}(z, \tau), \wp^{-1}(z, \tau), \zeta(z, \tau), \sigma(z, \tau)$
- Modular forms and functions: $j(\tau), \eta(\tau), \Delta(\tau), \lambda(\tau), G_{2 k}(\tau)$
- Legendre complete elliptic integrals $K(m), E(m), \Pi(n, m)$
- Incomplete elliptic integrals $F(\phi, m), E(\phi, m), \Pi(n, \phi, m)$
- Carlson incomplete elliptic integrals $R_{F}, R_{J}, R_{C}, R_{D}, R_{G}$

Possible future projects:

- The suite of Jacobi elliptic functions and integrals
- Asymptotic complexity improvements


## An application: Hilbert class polynomials

For $D<0$ congruent to 0 or $1 \bmod 4$,

$$
H_{D}(x)=\prod_{(a, b, c)}\left(x-j\left(\frac{-b+\sqrt{D}}{2 a}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]
$$

where $(a, b, c)$ is taken over all the primitive reduced binary quadratic forms $a x^{2}+b x y+c y^{2}$ with $b^{2}-4 a c=D$.

Example:
$H_{-31}=x^{3}+39491307 x^{2}-58682638134 x+1566028350940383$
Algorithms: modular, complex analytic

| $-D$ | Degree Bits |  | Pari/GP classpoly | CM | Arb |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $10^{6}+3$ | 105 | 8527 | 12 s | 0.8 s | 0.4 s | 0.14 s |
| $10^{7}+3$ | 706 | 50889 | 194 s | 8 s | 29 s | 17 s |
| $10^{8}+3$ | 1702 | 153095 | 1855 s | 82 s | 436 s | 274 s |

## Some visualizations



The Weierstrass zeta-function $\zeta(0.25+2.25 i, \tau)$ as the lattice parameter $\tau$ varies over $[-0.25,0.25]+[0,0.15] i$.

## Some visualizations



The Weierstrass elliptic functions $\zeta(z, 0.25+i)$ (left) and $\sigma(z, 0.25+i)$ (right) as $z$ varies over $[-\pi, \pi],[-\pi, \pi] i$.

## Some visualizations



The function $j(\tau)$ on the complex interval $[-2,2]+[0,1] i$.

The function $\eta(\tau)$ on the complex interval $[0,24]+[0,1] i$.

## Some visualizations



Plot of $j(\tau)$ on $\left[\sqrt{13}, \sqrt{13}+10^{-101}\right]+\left[0,2.5 \times 10^{-102}\right] i$.


Plot of $\eta(\tau)$ on $\left[\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}+10^{-101}\right]+\left[0,2.5 \times 10^{-102}\right] i$.

## Approaches to computing special functions

- Numerical integration (integral representations, ODEs)
- Functional equations (argument reduction)
- Series expansions
- Root-finding methods (for inverse functions)
- Precomputed approximants (not applicable here)


## Brute force: numerical integration

For analytic integrands, there are good algorithms that easily permit achieving 100s or 1000s of digits of accuracy:

- Gaussian quadrature
- Clenshaw-Curtis method (Chebyshev series)
- Trapezoidal rule (for periodic functions)
- Double exponential (tanh-sinh) method
- Taylor series methods (also for ODEs)


## Pros:

- Simple, general, flexible approach
- Can deform path of integration as needed

Cons:

- Usually slower than dedicated methods
- Possible convergence problems (oscillation, singularities)
- Error analysis may be complicated for improper integrals


## Poisson and the trapezoidal rule (historical remark)

In 1827, Poisson considered the example of the perimeter of an ellipse with axis lengths $1 / \pi$ and $0.6 / \pi$ :

$$
I=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sqrt{1-0.36 \sin ^{2}(\theta)} d \theta=\frac{2}{\pi} E(0.36)=0.9027799 \ldots
$$

Poisson used the trapezoidal approximation

$$
I \approx I_{N}=\frac{4}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N / 4} \sqrt{1-0.36 \sin ^{2}(2 \pi k / N)}
$$

With $N=16$ (four points!), he computed $I \approx \mathbf{0 . 9 9 2 7 7 9 9 2 7 2}$ and proved that the error is $<4.84 \cdot 10^{-6}$.

In fact $\left|I_{N}-I\right|=O\left(3^{-N}\right)$. See Trefethen \& Weideman, The exponentially convergent trapezoidal rule, 2014.

## A model problem: computing $\exp (x)$

Standard two-step numerical recipe for special functions: (not all functions fit this pattern, but surprisingly many do!)

1. Argument reduction

$$
\begin{gathered}
\exp (x)=\exp (x-n \log (2)) \cdot 2^{n} \\
\exp (x)=\left[\exp \left(x / 2^{R}\right)\right]^{2^{R}}
\end{gathered}
$$

2. Series expansion

$$
\exp (x)=1+x+\frac{x^{2}}{2!}+\frac{x^{3}}{3!}+\ldots
$$

Step (1) ensures rapid convergence and good numerical stability in step (2).

## Reducing complexity for $p$-bit precision

Principles:

- Balance argument reduction and series order optimally
- Exploit special (e.g. hypergeometric) structure of series

How to compute $\exp (x)$ for $x \approx 1$ with an error of $2^{-1000}$ ?

- Only reduction: apply $x \rightarrow x / 2$ reduction 1000 times
- Only series evaluation: use 170 terms ( $170!>2^{1000}$ )
- Better: apply $\lceil\sqrt{1000}\rceil=32$ reductions and use 32 terms

This trick reduces the arithmetic complexity from $p$ to $p^{0.5}$ (time complexity from $p^{2+\varepsilon}$ to $p^{1.5+\varepsilon}$ ).

With a more complex scheme, the arithmetic complexity can be reduced to $O\left(\log ^{2} p\right)$ (time complexity $p^{1+\varepsilon}$ ).

## Evaluating polynomials using rectangular splitting

(Paterson and Stockmeyer 1973; Smith 1989)
$\sum_{i=0}^{N} \square x^{i}$ in $O(N)$ cheap steps $+O\left(N^{1 / 2}\right)$ expensive steps

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\square+\square x+\square x^{2}+\square x^{3}\right) \\
& \left(\square+\square x+\square x^{2}+\square x^{3}\right) \\
& \left(\square x^{4}\right. \\
& \left(\square+\square x+\square x^{2}+\square x^{3}\right) \\
& \left(\square x^{8}\right. \\
& \left(\square+\square x+\square x^{2}+\square x^{3}\right) \\
& \left(\square x^{12}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

This does not genuinely reduce the asymptotic complexity, but can be a huge improvement (100 times faster) in practice.

## Elliptic functions

## Elliptic integrals

## Argument reduction

Move to standard domain (periodicity, modular transformations)

Move parameters close together (various formulas)

## Series expansions

Theta function $q$-series
Multivariate hypergeometric series (Appell, Lauricella ...)

## Special cases

Modular forms \& functions, theta constants

Complete elliptic integrals, ordinary hypergeometric series (Gauss ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ )

## Modular forms and functions

A modular form of weight $k$ is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{H}=\{\tau: \tau \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0\}$ satisfying

$$
F\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=(c \tau+d)^{k} F(\tau)
$$

for any integers $a, b, c, d$ with $a d-b c=1$. A modular function is meromorphic and has weight $k=0$.

Since $F(\tau)=F(\tau+1)$, the function has a Fourier series (or Laurent series/ $q$-expansion)

$$
F(\tau)=\sum_{n=-m}^{\infty} c_{n} e^{2 i \pi n \tau}=\sum_{n=-m}^{\infty} c_{n} q^{n}, \quad q=e^{2 \pi i \tau},|q|<1
$$

## Some useful functions and their $q$-expansions

Dedekind eta function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\eta\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=\varepsilon(a, b, c, d) \sqrt{c \tau+d} \eta(\tau) \\
& -\eta(\tau)=e^{\pi i \tau / 12} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} q^{\left(3 n^{2}-n\right) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $j$-invariant

- $j\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=j(\tau)$
- $j(\tau)=\frac{1}{q}+744+196884 q+21493760 q^{2}+\cdots$
- $j(\tau)=32\left(\theta_{2}^{8}+\theta_{3}^{8}+\theta_{4}^{8}\right)^{3} /\left(\theta_{2} \theta_{3} \theta_{4}\right)^{8}$

Theta constants ( $q=e^{\pi i \tau}$ )

- $\left(\theta_{2}, \theta_{3}, \theta_{4}\right)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(q^{(n+1 / 2)^{2}}, q^{n^{2}},(-1)^{n} q^{n^{2}}\right)$

Due to sparseness, we only need $N=O(\sqrt{p})$ terms for $p$-bit accuracy (so the evaluation takes $p^{1.5+\varepsilon}$ time).

## Argument reduction for modular forms

$P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$ is generated by $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
By repeated use of $\tau \rightarrow \tau+1$ or $\tau \rightarrow-1 / \tau$, we can move $\tau$ to the fundamental domain $\left\{\tau \in \mathbb{H}:|z| \geq 1,|\operatorname{Re}(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}\right\}$.

In the fundamental domain, $|q| \leq \exp (-\pi \sqrt{3})=0.00433 \ldots$, which gives rapid convergence of the $q$-expansion.


## Practical considerations

Instead of applying $F(\tau+1)=F(\tau)$ or $F(-1 / \tau)=\tau^{k} F(\tau)$ step by step, build transformation matrix $g=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ and apply to $F$ in one step.

- This improves numerical stability
- $g$ can usually be computed cheaply using machine floats

If computing $F$ via theta constants, apply transformation for $F$ instead of the individual theta constants.

## Fast computation of eta and theta function $q$-series

Consider $\sum_{n=0}^{N} q^{n^{2}}$. More generally, $q^{P(n)}, P \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ of degree 2 .
Naively: $2 N$ multiplications.
Enge, Hart \& J, Short addition sequences for theta functions, 2016:

- Optimized addition sequence for $P(0), P(1), \ldots$ ( $2 \times$ speedup)
- Rectangular splitting: choose splitting parameter $m$ so that $P$ has few distinct residues mod $m$ (logarithmic speedup, in practice another $2 \times$ speedup)

Schost \& Nogneng, On the evaluation of some sparse polynomials, 2017:

- $N^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}$ method ( $p^{1.25+\varepsilon}$ time complexity) using FFT
- Faster for $p>200000$ in practice


## Jacobi theta functions

Series expansion:

$$
\theta_{3}(z, \tau)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^{2}} w^{2 n}, \quad q=e^{\pi i \tau}, w=e^{\pi i z}
$$

and similarly for $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{4}$.
The terms eventually decay rapidly (there can be an initial "hump" if $|w|$ is large). Error bound via geometric series.

For $z$-derivatives, we compute the object $\theta(z+x, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ (as a vector of coefficients) in one step.
$\theta(z+x, \tau)=\theta(z, \tau)+\theta^{\prime}(z, \tau) x+\ldots+\frac{\theta^{(r-1)}(z, \tau)}{(r-1)!} x^{r-1}+O\left(x^{r}\right) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$

## Argument reduction for Jacobi theta functions

Two reductions are necessary:

- Move $\tau$ to $\tau^{\prime}$ in the fundamental domain (this operation transforms $z \rightarrow z^{\prime}$, introduces some prefactors, and permutes the theta functions)
- Reduce $z^{\prime}$ modulo $\tau^{\prime}$ using quasiperiodicity

General formulas for the transformation $\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}=\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}$ are given in (Rademacher, 1973):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{n}(z, \tau) & =\exp (\pi i R / 4) \cdot A \cdot B \cdot \theta_{S}\left(z^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}\right) \\
z^{\prime}=\frac{-z}{c \tau+d}, \quad A & =\sqrt{\frac{i}{c \tau+d}}, \quad B=\exp \left(-\pi i c \frac{z^{2}}{c \tau+d}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$R, S$ are integers depending on $n$ and $(a, b, c, d)$.
The argument reduction also applies to $\theta(z+x, \tau) \in \mathbb{C}[[x]]$.

## Elliptic functions

The Weierstrass elliptic function $\wp(z, \tau)=\wp(z+1, \tau)=\wp(z+\tau, \tau)$

$$
\wp(z, \tau)=\frac{1}{z^{2}}+\sum_{n^{2}+m^{2} \neq 0}\left[\frac{1}{(z+m+n \tau)^{2}}-\frac{1}{(m+n \tau)^{2}}\right]
$$

is computed via Jacobi theta functions as

$$
\wp(z, \tau)=\pi^{2} \theta_{2}^{2}(0, \tau) \theta_{3}^{2}(0, \tau) \frac{\theta_{4}^{2}(z, \tau)}{\theta_{1}^{2}(z, \tau)}-\frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\left[\theta_{3}^{4}(0, \tau)+\theta_{3}^{4}(0, \tau)\right]
$$

Similarly $\sigma(z, \tau), \zeta(z, \tau)$ and $\wp^{(k)}(z, \tau)$ using $z$-derivatives of theta functions.

With argument reduction for both $z$ and $\tau$ already implemented for theta functions, reduction for $\wp$ is unnecessary (but can improve numerical stability).

## Some timings

For $d$ decimal digits $(z=\sqrt{5}+\sqrt{7} i, \tau=\sqrt{7}+i / \sqrt{11})$ :

| Function | $d=10$ | $d=10^{2}$ | $d=10^{3}$ | $d=10^{4}$ | $d=10^{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\exp (z)$ | $7.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $2.94 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.000112 | 0.0062 | 0.237 |
| $\log (z)$ | $8.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $2.75 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.000114 | 0.0077 | 0.274 |
| $\eta(\tau)$ | $6.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $1.99 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.00037 | 0.0150 | 0.69 |
| $j(\tau)$ | $6.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $2.29 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.00046 | 0.0223 | 1.10 |
| $\left(\theta_{i}(0, \tau)\right)_{i=1}^{4}$ | $7.6 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $2.67 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.00044 | 0.0217 | 1.09 |
| $\left(\theta_{i}(z, \tau)\right)_{i=1}^{4}$ | $2.8 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | $8.10 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.00161 | 0.0890 | 5.41 |
| $\wp(z, \tau)$ | $3.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.000122 | 0.00213 | 0.113 | 6.55 |
| $\left(\wp, \wp^{\prime}\right)$ | $5.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.000166 | 0.00255 | 0.128 | 7.26 |
| $\zeta(z, \tau)$ | $7.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.000219 | 0.00284 | 0.136 | 7.80 |
| $\sigma(z, \tau)$ | $7.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.000223 | 0.00299 | 0.143 | 8.06 |

## Elliptic integrals

Any elliptic integral $\int R(x, \sqrt{P(x)}) d x$ can be written in terms of a small "basis set". The Legendre forms are used by tradition.

Complete elliptic integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(m)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} t}}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)\left(\sqrt{1-m t^{2}}\right)} \\
& E(m)=\int_{0}^{\pi 2} \sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} t} d t=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\sqrt{1-m t^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t \\
& \Pi(n, m)=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} \frac{d t}{\left(1-n \sin ^{2} t\right) \sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} t}}=\int_{0}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left(1-n t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-m t^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Incomplete integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(\phi, m)=\int_{0}^{\phi} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} t}}=\int_{0}^{\sin \phi} \frac{d t}{\left(\sqrt{1-t^{2}}\right)\left(\sqrt{1-m t^{2}}\right)} \\
& E(\phi, m)=\int_{0}^{\phi} \sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} t} d t=\int_{0}^{\sin \phi} \frac{\sqrt{1-m t^{2}}}{\sqrt{1-t^{2}}} d t \\
& \Pi(n, \phi, m)=\int_{0}^{\phi} \frac{d t}{\left(1-n \sin ^{2} t\right) \sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} t}}=\int_{0}^{\sin \phi} \frac{d t}{\left(1-n t^{2}\right) \sqrt{1-t^{2}} \sqrt{1-m t^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Complete elliptic integrals and ${ }_{2} F_{1}$

The Gauss hypergeometric function is defined for $|z|<1$ by
${ }_{2} F_{1}(a, b, c, z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{k}(b)_{k}}{(c)_{k}} \frac{z^{k}}{k!}, \quad(x)_{k}=x(x+1) \cdots(x+k-1)$
and elsewhere by analytic continuation. The ${ }_{2} F_{1}$ function can be computed efficiently for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(m)=\frac{1}{2} \pi{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, m\right) \\
& E(m)=\frac{1}{2} \pi_{2} F_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, m\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This works, but it's not the best way!

## Complete elliptic integrals and the AGM

The AGM of $x, y$ is the common limit of the sequences

$$
a_{n+1}=\frac{a_{n}+b_{n}}{2}, \quad b_{n+1}=\sqrt{a_{n} b_{n}}
$$

with $a_{0}=x, b_{0}=y$. As a functional equation:

$$
M(x, y)=M\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \sqrt{x y}\right)
$$

Each step doubles the number of digits in $M(x, y) \approx x \approx y$ $\Rightarrow$ convergence in $O(\log p)$ operations ( $p^{1+\varepsilon}$ time complexity).

$$
K(m)=\frac{\pi}{2 M(1, \sqrt{1-m})}, \quad E(m)=(1-m)\left(2 m K^{\prime}(m)+K(m)\right)
$$

## Numerical aspects of the AGM

Argument reduction vs series expansion: $O(1)$ terms only. Slightly better than reducing all the way to $\left|a_{n}-b_{n}\right|<2^{-p}$ :

$$
\frac{\pi}{4 K\left(z^{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{z^{2}}{8}-\frac{5 z^{4}}{128}-\frac{11 z^{6}}{512}-\frac{469 z^{8}}{32768}+O\left(z^{10}\right)
$$

Complex variables: simplify to $M(z)=M(1, z)$ using $M(x, y)=x M(1, y / x)$. Some case distinctions for correct square root branches in AGM iteration.

Derivatives: can use finite (central) difference for $M^{\prime}(z)$ (better method possible using elliptic integrals), higher derivatives using recurrence relations.

## Incomplete elliptic integrals

Incomplete elliptic integrals are multivariate hypergeometric functions. In terms of the Appell $F_{1}$ function

$$
F_{1}\left(a, b_{1}, b_{2} ; c ; x, y\right)=\sum_{m, n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{m+n}\left(b_{1}\right)_{m}\left(b_{2}\right)_{n}}{(c)_{m+n} m!n!} x^{m} y^{n}
$$

where $|x|,|y|<1$, we have
$F(z, m)=\int_{0}^{z} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{1-m \sin ^{2} t}}=\sin (z) F_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} ; \sin ^{2} z, m \sin ^{2} z\right)$
Problems:

- How to reduce arguments so that $|x|,|y| \ll 1$ ?
- How to perform analytic continuation and obtain consistent branch cuts for complex variables?


## Branch cuts of Legendre incomplete elliptic integrals
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## EllipticF

Incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind

Mathematica Notation: EllipticF $[z, m]$

Traditional Notation: $F(z \mid m)$

Elliptic Integrals • EllipticF[z,m] *

General characteristics (23 formulas)

- Domain and analyticity (1 formula)
- Symmetries and periodicities ( 5 formulas)
- Poles and essential singularities (2 formulas)
- Branch points (4 formulas)
- Branch cuts (11 formulas)


## Branch cuts of $F(z, m)$ with respect to $z \ldots$

```
Elliptic Integrals r EllipticF[z,m] - General characteristics * Branch cuts *
```


## With respect to $z$

## General description

For fixed $m$, the function $F(z \mid m)$ can have up to six infinite sets of branch cuts (it has at least four), which form very complicated curves in the case of generic $m$.
For fixed real $m<1$, the function $F(z \mid m)$ does not have branch cuts on the real axis and on the vertical intervals $\left\{\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})+\pi k, \pi-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})+\pi k\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(-\infty, 1)$.
For fixed real $m<1$, the function $F(z \mid m)$ has four infinite sets of branch cuts located on vertical intervals starting at the points $z=\pi k \pm \csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m}) / ; k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and extending to imaginary infinity. For fixed generic $m$, the function $F(z \mid m)$ has the following six infinite sets of branch cuts:

1) real intervals $\left\{\pi k+\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m}), \pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m>1$, where $F(z \mid m)$ is continuous from below (for generic complex $m$, these branch cuts deform into complicated curves); in the case $m<1$ these real intervals vanish
2) real intervals $\left\{\pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi(k+1)-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m>1$, where $F(z \mid m)$ is continuous from above (for generic complex $m$, these branch cuts deform into complicated curves); in the case $m<1$ these real intervals vanish
3) vertical intervals $\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}+2 \pi k, \frac{\pi}{2}+2 \pi k+i \infty\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \notin(0,1)$, or $\left\{\pi-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})+2 \pi k, \frac{\pi}{2}+2 \pi k+i \infty\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(0,1)$, where $F(z \mid m)$ is continuous from the left
4) vertical intervals $\left\{\frac{3 \pi}{2}+2 \pi k, \frac{3 \pi}{2}+2 \pi k+i \infty\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \notin(0,1)$, or

## Branch cuts of $F(z, m)$ with respect to $z$ (continued)

$\left\{2 \pi-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})+2 \pi k, \frac{3 \pi}{2}+2 \pi k+i \infty\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(0,1)$, where $F(z \mid m)$ is continuous from the right
5) vertical intervals $\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}+2 \pi k-i \infty, \frac{\pi}{2}+2 \pi k\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \notin(0,1)$, or $\left\{\frac{\pi}{2}+2 \pi k-i \infty, 2 \pi k+\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(0,1)$, where $F(z \mid m)$ is continuous from the left
6) vertical intervals $\left\{\frac{3 \pi}{2}+2 \pi k-i \infty, \frac{3 \pi}{2}+2 \pi k\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \notin(0,1)$, or $\left\{\frac{3 \pi}{2}+2 \pi k-i \infty, 2 \pi k+\pi+\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(0,1)$, where $F(z \mid m)$ is continuous from the right.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B C}_{2} & (F(z \mid m))=\left\{\left\{\left\{\left(\pi k+\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m}), \pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}\right), i\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in \mathbb{R} \wedge m>1\right\},\right. \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(\pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi(k+1)-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right),-i\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in \mathbb{R} \wedge m>1\right\}, \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(2 \pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}, 2 k \pi+\frac{\pi}{2}+i \infty\right), 1\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \notin(0,1)\right\} \vee \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(2 \pi k+\pi-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m}), 2 k \pi+\frac{\pi}{2}+i \infty\right), 1\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(0,1)\right\}, \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(2 \pi k+\frac{3 \pi}{2}, 2 k \pi+\frac{3 \pi}{2}+i \infty\right),-1\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \notin(0,1)\right\} \vee \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(2 \pi k+2 \pi-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m}), 2 k \pi+\frac{3 \pi}{2}+i \infty\right),-1\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(0,1)\right\}, \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(2 \pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}-i \infty, 2 k \pi+\frac{\pi}{2}\right), 1\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \notin(0,1)\right\} \vee \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(2 \pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}-i \infty, 2 \pi k+\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right), 1\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(0,1)\right\}, \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(2 \pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}-i \infty, 2 k \pi+\frac{\pi}{2}\right),-1\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \notin(0,1)\right\} \vee \\
& \left\{\left\{\left(2 \pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}-i \infty, 2 \pi k+\pi+\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right),-1\right\} / ; k \in \mathbb{Z} \wedge m \in(0,1)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Branch cuts of $F(z, m)$ with respect to $z$ (continued)

## Formulas on real axis for real $m$

For $m<1$
For fixed real $m<1$, the function $F(z \mid m)$ does not have branch cuts on the real axis.

For $m>1$
$\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} F(x+i \epsilon \mid m)=-F(z \mid m)+\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}} K\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)+4\left(\left\lfloor\frac{z}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right) K(m) / ;$
$x \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge m \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge m>1 \bigwedge \pi k+\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})<x<\pi k+\frac{\pi}{2} \bigwedge k \in \mathbb{Z}$

- $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} F(x-i \epsilon \mid m)=F(x \mid m) / ; x \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge m \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge m>1 \bigwedge \pi k+\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})<x<\pi k+\frac{\pi}{2} \bigwedge k \in \mathbb{Z}$
> $\lim _{c \rightarrow+0} F(x+i \epsilon \mid m)=F(x \mid m) / ; x \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge m \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge m>1 \bigwedge \frac{\pi}{2}+\pi k<x<\pi(k+1)-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m}) \bigwedge k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} F(x-i \epsilon \mid m)=-F(x \mid m)-\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}} K\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)+4\left(\left\lfloor\frac{x}{\pi}-\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor+1\right) K(m) / \\
& \quad x \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge m \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge m>1 \bigwedge \pi k+\frac{\pi}{2}<x<\pi(k+1)-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m}) \bigwedge k \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Branch cuts of $F(z, m)$ with respect to $z$ (continued)

## Formulas for vertical intervals

For $m<1$
For fixed real $m<1$, the function $F(z \mid m)$ has branch points $\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})+\pi k / ; k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi-\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})+\pi k / ; k \in \mathbf{Z}$. In this case branch cuts lay at the vertical lines beginning from these points and going to imaginary infinity. By this reason for fixed real $m<1$, the function $F(z \mid m)$ does not have branch cuts on the vertical intervals

For $m>0$

- $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} F\left(\left.2 \pi k+i x+\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon \right\rvert\, m\right)=F\left(\left.2 \pi k+i x+\frac{\pi}{2} \right\rvert\, m\right) / ; x \in \mathbb{R} \wedge k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{c \rightarrow+0} F\left(\left.2 \pi k+i x+\frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon \right\rvert\, m\right)=-F\left(\left.i x+\frac{\pi}{2} \right\rvert\, m\right)-\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}} K\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)+4(k+1) K(m) / \\
& \quad m \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge x \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge\left(0<m<1 \bigwedge x>-\operatorname{Im}\left(\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right)\right) \bigvee(m>1 \wedge x<0) \wedge k \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow+0} F\left(\left.2 \pi k+i x+\frac{\pi}{2}+\epsilon \right\rvert\, m\right)=-F\left(\left.i x+\frac{\pi}{2} \right\rvert\, m\right)+\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}} K\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)+4 k K(m) / \\
& \quad m \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge x \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge\left(0<m<1 \bigwedge x<\operatorname{Im}\left(\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right) \bigvee m>1 \wedge x>0\right) \bigwedge k \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Branch cuts of $F(z, m)$ with respect to $z$ (continued)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{e \rightarrow+0} F\left(\left.2 \pi k+i x+\frac{3 \pi}{2}-\epsilon \right\rvert\, m\right)=-F\left(\left.i x+\frac{3 \pi}{2} \right\rvert\, m\right)-\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}} K\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)+4(k+2) K(m) / \\
& \quad m \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge x \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge\left(0<m<1 \bigwedge x>-\operatorname{Im}\left(\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right) \vee m>1 \wedge x<0\right) \bigwedge k \in \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\lim _{k \rightarrow+0} F\left(\left.2 \pi k+i x+\frac{3 \pi}{2}-\epsilon \right\rvert\, m\right)=-F\left(\left.i x+\frac{3 \pi}{2} \right\rvert\, m\right)+\frac{2}{\sqrt{m}} K\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)+4(k+1) K(m) / ;$

$$
m \in \mathbb{R} \Lambda x \in \mathbb{R} \bigwedge\left(0<m<1 / x<\operatorname{Im}\left(\csc ^{-1}(\sqrt{m})\right) \vee m>1 \wedge x>0\right) \bigwedge k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

$\lim _{k \rightarrow+0} F\left(\left.2 \pi k+i x+\frac{3 \pi}{2}+\epsilon \right\rvert\, m\right)=F\left(\left.2 \pi k+i x+\frac{3 \pi}{2} \right\rvert\, m\right) / ; x \in \mathbb{R} \wedge k \in \mathbb{Z}$

## Branch cuts of $F(z, m)$ with respect to $m$

## EllipticF

Incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind

Mathematica Notation: EllipticF $[z, m]$

Traditional Notation: $F(z \mid m)$

```
Elliptic Integrals & EllipticF[z,m] & General characteristics & Branch cuts *
```

With respect to $m$ ( 0 formulas)

Branch cut locations: complicated.

Conclusion: the Legendre forms are not nice as building blocks.

## Carlson's symmetric forms

In the 1960s, Bille C. Carlson suggested an alternative "basis set" for incomplete elliptic integrals:

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{F}(x, y, z)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{(t+x)(t+y)(t+z)}} \\
R_{J}(x, y, z, p)=\frac{3}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{(t+p) \sqrt{(t+x)(t+y)(t+z)}} \\
R_{C}(x, y)=R_{F}(x, y, y), \quad R_{D}(x, y, z)=R_{J}(x, y, z, z)
\end{gathered}
$$

Advantages:

- Symmetry unifies and simplifies transformation laws
- Symmetry greatly simplifies series expansions
- The functions have nice complex branch structure
- Simple universal algorithm for computation


## Evaluation of Legendre forms

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { For }-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq \frac{\pi}{2} \\
& \qquad F(z, m)=\sin (z) R_{F}\left(\cos ^{2}(z), 1-m \sin ^{2}(z), 1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Elsewhere, use quasiperiodic extension:

$$
F(z+k \pi, m)=2 k K(m)+F(z, m), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Similarly for $E(z, m)$ and $\Pi(n, z, m)$.

Slight complication to handle (complex) intervals straddling the lines $\operatorname{Re}(z)=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \pi$.
Useful for implementations: variants with $z \rightarrow \pi z$.

## Symmetric argument reduction

We have the functional equation

$$
R_{F}(x, y, z)=R_{F}\left(\frac{x+\lambda}{4}, \frac{y+\lambda}{4}, \frac{z+\lambda}{4}\right)
$$

where $\lambda=\sqrt{x} \sqrt{y}+\sqrt{y} \sqrt{z}+\sqrt{z} \sqrt{x}$. Each application reduces the distance between $x, y, z$ by a factor $1 / 4$.

Algorithm: apply reduction until the distance is $\varepsilon$, then use an order- $N$ series expansion with error term $O\left(\varepsilon^{N}\right)$.

For $p$-bit accuracy, need $p /(2 N)$ argument reduction steps.
(A similar functional equation exists for $R_{J}(x, y, z, p)$.)

## Series expansion when arguments are close

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{F}(x, y, z)=R_{-1 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, x, y, z\right) \\
R_{J}(x, y, z, p)=R_{-3 / 2}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, x, y, z, p, p\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Carlson's $R$ is a multivariate hypergeometric series:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{-a}(\mathbf{b} ; \mathbf{z})=\sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{M}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}\right)_{M}} T_{M}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n} ; 1-z_{1}, \ldots, 1-z_{n}\right) \\
&=\sum_{M=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_{n}^{-a}(a)_{M}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}\right)_{M}} T_{M}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n-1} ; 1-\frac{z_{1}}{z_{n}}, \ldots, 1-\frac{z_{n-1}}{z_{n}}\right), \\
& T_{M}\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)=\sum_{m_{1}+\ldots+m_{n}=M} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\left(b_{j}\right) m_{j}}{\left(m_{j}\right)!} w_{j}^{m_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left|T_{M}\right| \leq$ Const $\cdot p(M) \max \left(\left|w_{1}\right|, \ldots,\left|w_{n}\right|\right)^{M}$, so we can easily bound the tail by a geometric series.

## A clever idea by Carlson: symmetric polynomials

Using elementary symmetric polynomials $E_{s}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$,

$$
T_{M}\left(\frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{2}}, \mathbf{w}\right)=\sum_{m_{1}+2 m_{2}+\ldots+n m_{n}=M}(-1)^{M+\sum_{j} m_{j}}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_{\sum_{j} m_{j}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{E_{j}^{m_{j}}(\mathbf{w})}{\left(m_{j}\right)!}
$$

We can expand $R$ around the mean of the arguments, taking $w_{j}=1-z_{j} / A$ where $A=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}$. Then $E_{1}=0$, and most of the terms disappear!

Carlson suggested expanding to $M<N=8$ :
$A^{1 / 2} R_{F}(x, y, z)=1-\frac{E_{2}}{10}+\frac{E_{3}}{14}+\frac{E_{2}^{2}}{24}-\frac{3 E_{2} E_{3}}{44}-\frac{5 E_{2}^{3}}{208}+\frac{3 E_{3}^{2}}{104}+\frac{E_{2}^{2} E_{3}}{16}+O\left(\varepsilon^{8}\right)$
Need $p / 16$ argument reduction steps for $p$-bit accuracy.

## Rectangular splitting for the $R$ series

The exponents of $E_{2}^{m_{2}} E_{3}^{m_{3}}$ appearing in the series for $R_{F}$ are the lattice points $m_{2}, m_{3} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $2 m_{2}+3 m_{3}<N$.


Compute powers of $E_{2}$, use Horner's rule with respect to $E_{3}$. Clear denominators so that all coefficients are small integers.
$\Rightarrow O\left(N^{2}\right)$ cheap steps $+O(N)$ expensive steps

For $R_{J}$, compute powers of $E_{2}, E_{3}$, use Horner for $E_{4}, E_{5}$.

## Balancing series evaluation and argument reduction

Consider $R_{F}$ :
$p=$ wanted precision in bits
$O\left(\varepsilon^{N}\right)=$ error due to truncating the series expansion
$O\left(N^{2}\right)=$ number of terms in series
$O(p / N)=$ number of argument reduction steps for $\varepsilon^{N}=2^{-p}$

Overall cost $O\left(N^{2}+p / N\right)$ is minimized by $N \sim p^{0.333}$, giving $p^{0.667}$ arithmetic complexity ( $p^{1.667}$ time complexity).

Empirically, $N \approx 2 p^{0.4}$ is optimal (due to rectangular splitting). Speedup over $N=8$ at $d$ digits precision:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
d=10 & d=10^{2} & d=10^{3} & d=10^{4} & d=10^{5} \\
\hline 1 & 1.5 & 4 & 11 & 31
\end{array}
$$

## Some timings

We include $K(m)$ (computed by AGM), $F(z, m)$ (computed by $R_{F}$ ) and the inverse Weierstrass elliptic function:

$$
\wp^{-1}(z, \tau)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{\left(t-e_{1}\right)\left(t-e_{2}\right)\left(t-e_{3}\right)}}=R_{F}\left(z-e_{1}, z-e_{2}, z-e_{3}\right)
$$

| Function | $d=10$ | $d=10^{2}$ | $d=10^{3}$ | $d=10^{4}$ | $d=10^{5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\exp (z)$ | $7.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $2.94 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.000112 | 0.0062 | 0.237 |
| $\log (z)$ | $8.1 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $2.75 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | 0.000114 | 0.0077 | 0.274 |
| $\eta(\tau)$ | $6.2 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $1.99 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.00037 | 0.0150 | 0.693 |
| $K(m)$ | $5.4 \cdot 10^{-6}$ | $1.97 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.000182 | 0.0068 | 0.213 |
| $F(z, m)$ | $2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.000114 | 0.0022 | 0.187 | 19.1 |
| $\wp(z, \tau)$ | $3.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.000122 | 0.00214 | 0.129 | 6.82 |
| $\wp^{-1}(z, \tau)$ | $3.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$ | 0.000142 | 0.00253 | 0.202 | 19.7 |

## Quadratic transformations

It is possible to construct AGM-like methods (converging in $O(\log p)$ steps) for general elliptic integrals and functions.

Problems:

- The overhead may be slightly higher at low precision
- Correct treatment of complex variables is not obvious

Unfortunately, I have not had time to study this topic. However, see the following papers:

- The elliptic logarithm ( $\approx \wp^{-1}$ ): John E. Cremona and Thotsaphon Thongjunthug, The complex AGM, periods of elliptic curves over and complex elliptic logarithms, 2013.
- Elliptic and theta functions: Hugo Labrande, Computing Jacobi's $\theta$ in quasi-linear time, 2015.

