

SQISIGN Compact Post-Quantum Signature From Quaternions and Isogenies

LFANT seminar November 2021 IMB, Bordeaux, France

Based on a joint work with Luca De Feo, David Kohel, Antonin Leroux and Christophe Petit



Benjamin Wesolowski

SQISIGN

A post-quantum signature scheme



THE STATE OF POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY

Six families in Round 3 NIST post-quantum competition (finalists + alternate candidates)

Lattices	4 encryption	2 signature		
Codes	3 encryption			
Multivariate		2 signature		
Isogenies	1 encryption		compact keys	poor efficiency
Hash-based		1 signature		
MPC		1 signature		

THE STATE OF POST-QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY

Six families in Round 3 NIST post-quantum competition (finalists + alternate candidates)

Lattices	4 encryption	2 signature		
Codes	3 encryption			
Multivariate		2 signature		
Isogenies	1 encryption		compact keys	poor efficiency
Hash-based		1 signature		
MPC		1 signature		

Many new isogeny-base schemes since the competition

ISOGENY-BASED SIGNATURES

Generic isogeny feature: compact keys (unless tradeoff)

ISOGENY-BASED SIGNATURES

Generic isogeny feature: compact keys (unless tradeoff)

	Based on	Iterations	Sig. size	Efficiency	
[Yoo+17]	SIDH assumptions	λ	O(λ ²)	slow	
[GPS17] GPS	endomorphism computation	λ	Ο(λ²)	no. implem.	weaker assumptions
[DG19] SeaSign	CSIDH assumption	λ	tradeoff	very slow	
[BKV19] CSI-FiSh	CSIDH assumption	λ	tradeoff	efficient	subexp. precomp.

 $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is the security parameter

Signature from one round, high soundness identification protocol based on proof of knowledge of endomorphism ring

Signature from one round, high soundness identification protocol based on proof of knowledge of endomorphism ring

Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3)

Secret key (bytes)	Public key (bytes)	Signature (bytes)	Security
16	64	204	NIST-1

Signature from one round, high soundness identification protocol based on proof of knowledge of endomorphism ring

Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3)

Secret key (bytes)	Public key (bytes)	Signature (bytes)	Security
16	64	204	NIST-1
Efficient verificat	ion and reasonably o	fficient signature	

Efficient verification and reasonably efficient signature

Signature from one round, high soundness identification protocol based on proof of knowledge of endomorphism ring

Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3)

Secret key (bytes)	Public key (bytes)	Signature (bytes)	Security
16	16 64		NIST-1
Efficient verificat	ion and reasonably e	fficient signature	
	Key gen.	Signing	Verification
ms	575	2279	42

Signature from one round, high soundness identification protocol based on proof of knowledge of endomorphism ring

Most compact PQ signature scheme: PK + Signature combined $5 \times$ smaller than Falcon (most compact NIST Round 3)

Secret key (bytes)	Public key (bytes)	Signature (bytes)	Security
16	64	204	NIST-1
Efficient verificat	ion and <mark>reasonably e</mark>	efficient signature	
	Key gen.	Signing	Verification
ms	575	2279	42

New security assumption

SUPERSINGULAR ELLIPTIC CURVES

Isogenies, endomorphisms and quaternions



ELLIPTIC CURVES

Elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q : solutions (x, y) in \mathbb{F}_q of

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

 $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is an additive group

ELLIPTIC CURVES

Elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q : solutions (x, y) in \mathbb{F}_q of

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

 $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is an additive group

An isogeny in a map

$$\varphi: E \longrightarrow F$$

which preserves certain structures. In particular, it is a group homomorphism with a finite kernel $ker(\varphi)$

ELLIPTIC CURVES

Elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q : solutions (x, y) in \mathbb{F}_q of

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

 $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is an additive group

An isogeny in a map

$$\varphi: E \longrightarrow F$$

which preserves certain structures. In particular, it is a group homomorphism with a finite kernel $ker(\varphi)$

The degree* is $deg(\varphi) = #ker(\varphi)$

* for separable isogenies

ENDOMORPHISM RING

An endomorphism is an isogeny $\varphi: E \longrightarrow E$

ENDOMORPHISM RING

An endomorphism is an isogeny $\varphi: E \longrightarrow E$

They form a ring End(E)

- $\varphi + \psi$ is pointwise addition: $(\varphi + \psi)(P) = \varphi(P) + \psi(P)$
- $\varphi \psi$ is the composition: $(\varphi \psi)(P) = \varphi(\psi(P))$

ENDOMORPHISM RING

An endomorphism is an isogeny $\varphi: E \longrightarrow E$

They form a ring End(E)

• $\varphi + \psi$ is pointwise addition: $(\varphi + \psi)(P) = \varphi(P) + \psi(P)$

• $\varphi \psi$ is the composition: $(\varphi \psi)(P) = \varphi(\psi(P))$

Multiplication by $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an endomorphism

$$[m]: E \longrightarrow E: P \longmapsto P + \dots + P$$

It forms a subring $\mathbb{Z} \subset \operatorname{End}(E)$

What is the structure of End(E) ?

What is the structure of End(E) ?

It contains $\mathbb{Z} \subset \operatorname{End}(E)$...

What is the structure of End(E) ?

- It contains $\mathbb{Z} \subset \operatorname{End}(E)$...
- (End(E), +) is a lattice of dimension 2 or 4

What is the structure of End(E) ?

- It contains $\mathbb{Z} \subset \operatorname{End}(E)$...
- (End(E), +) is a lattice of dimension 2 or 4

We say E is supersingular when End(E) has dimension 4

What is the structure of End(E) ?

- It contains $\mathbb{Z} \subset \operatorname{End}(E)$...
- (End(E), +) is a lattice of dimension 2 or 4

We say *E* is supersingular when End(E) has dimension 4

Then, there is a \mathbb{Z} -basis 1, α_2 , α_3 , α_4 : as a lattice,

 $\operatorname{End}(E) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_4$

What is the structure of End(E) ?

- It contains $\mathbb{Z} \subset \operatorname{End}(E)$...
- (End(E), +) is a lattice of dimension 2 or 4

We say *E* is supersingular when End(E) has dimension 4

Then, there is a \mathbb{Z} -basis 1, α_2 , α_3 , α_4 : as a lattice,

 $\operatorname{End}(E) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\alpha_4$

The endomorphism algebra is the vector space

 $B = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}\alpha_2 \oplus \mathbb{Q}\alpha_3 \oplus \mathbb{Q}\alpha_4$

with a ring structure induced from that of End(E)

Given a supersingular elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q (of characteristic p), it is easy to compute the endomorphism algebra: it is the quaternion algebra $B_{p,\infty}$

Given a supersingular elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q (of characteristic p), it is easy to compute the endomorphism algebra: it is the quaternion algebra $B_{p,\infty}$

For instance, if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$ where $i^2 = -1$, $j^2 = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Given a supersingular elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q (of characteristic p), it is easy to compute the endomorphism algebra: it is the quaternion algebra $B_{p,\infty}$

For instance, if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$ where $i^2 = -1$, $j^2 = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

End(E) is a discrete subrings of $B_{p,\infty}$

Given a supersingular elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q (of characteristic p), it is easy to compute the endomorphism algebra: it is the quaternion algebra $B_{p,\infty}$

For instance, if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$ where $i^2 = -1$, $j^2 = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

End(E) is a discrete subrings of $B_{p,\infty}$

• End(*E*) is a maximal order in $B_{p,\infty}$

Given a supersingular elliptic curve over \mathbb{F}_q (of characteristic p), it is easy to compute the endomorphism algebra: it is the quaternion algebra $B_{p,\infty}$

For instance, if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^2 = -1$, $j^2 = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

End(E) is a discrete subrings of $B_{p,\infty}$

- End(*E*) is a maximal order in $B_{p,\infty}$
- There are *many* maximal orders in $B_{p,\infty}$

Supersingular curves E over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

(up to isomorphism)

Maximal orders in $B_{p,\infty}$ $\mathcal{O} \simeq \text{End}(E)$ (up to equivalence)

Supersingular curves E over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

(up to isomorphism)

Isogenies $\varphi : E \to F$

Maximal orders in $B_{p,\infty}$ $\mathcal{O} \simeq End(E)$ (up to equivalence)

Left \mathcal{O} -ideals I_{φ}

Supersingular curves E over \mathbb{F}_{p^2}

(up to isomorphism)

Isogenies $\varphi : E \to F$

Degree $deg(\varphi)$

Maximal orders in $B_{p,\infty}$ $\mathcal{O} \simeq End(E)$ (up to equivalence)

Left \mathcal{O} -ideals I_{φ}

Norm $n(I_{\varphi})$

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbf{Q} \oplus \mathbf{Q}i \oplus \mathbf{Q}j \oplus \mathbf{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

Two non-trivial endomorphisms:

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^2 = -1$, $j^2 = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

Two non-trivial endomorphisms:

$$\pi: E_0 \longrightarrow E_0: (x, y) \longmapsto (x^p, y^p)$$
Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

$$\pi: E_0 \longrightarrow E_0: (x, y) \longmapsto (x^p, y^p) \quad \pi^2 = [-p]$$

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

$$\pi: E_0 \longrightarrow E_0: (x, y) \longmapsto (x^p, y^p) \quad \pi^2 = [-p]$$

$$\iota: E_0 \longrightarrow E_0: (x, y) \longmapsto (-x, \alpha y)$$

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

$$\pi : E_0 \to E_0 : (x, y) \longmapsto (x^p, y^p) \qquad \pi^2 = [-p]$$

$$\iota : E_0 \to E_0 : (x, y) \longmapsto (-x, \alpha y) \qquad \iota^2 = [-1]$$

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

$$\pi : E_0 \to E_0 : (x, y) \longmapsto (x^p, y^p) \quad \pi^2 = [-p]$$

and $i\pi = -\pi i$
$$i : E_0 \to E_0 : (x, y) \longmapsto (-x, \alpha y) \quad i^2 = [-1]$$

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

$$\pi : E_0 \to E_0 : (x, y) \longmapsto (x^p, y^p) \quad \pi^2 = [-p]$$

$$\pi : E_0 \to E_0 : (x, y) \longmapsto (-x, \alpha y) \quad I^2 = [-1]$$

$$\text{and } I\pi = -\pi I$$

$$\text{End}(E_0) \stackrel{?}{=} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_I \oplus \mathbb{Z}_\pi \oplus \mathbb{Z}_I\pi$$

$$\simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} i \oplus \mathbb{Z} j \oplus \mathbb{Z} i j \subset B_{p,\infty}$$

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

$$\pi : E_0 \to E_0 : (x, y) \longmapsto (x^p, y^p) \quad \pi^2 = [-p]$$

and $\iota \pi = -\pi \iota$
$$\iota : E_0 \to E_0 : (x, y) \longmapsto (-x, \alpha y) \quad \iota^2 = [-1]$$

End $(E_0) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \iota \oplus \mathbb{Z} \frac{\iota + \pi}{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \frac{1 + \iota \pi}{2}$

Example: $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$ where $\alpha^2 = -1$, and

 $B_{p,\infty} = \mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}i \oplus \mathbb{Q}j \oplus \mathbb{Q}k$

where $i^{2} = -1$, $j^{2} = -p$, and k = ij = -ji

Consider $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

$$\pi : E_{0} \to E_{0} : (x, y) \longmapsto (x^{p}, y^{p}) \qquad \pi^{2} = [-p]$$

$$\pi^{2} = [-p]$$

$$\pi^{2} = [-1]$$

$$\pi^{2} = [-1]$$

$$\pi^{2} = [-1]$$

$$End(E_{0}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{l} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{l+\pi}{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{1+i\pi}{2}$$

$$\cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{l} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{l+\pi}{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{1+k}{2} \subset B_{p,\infty}$$

For E_0 : $y^2 = x^3 + x$, it is easy to compute End(E_0)

For E_0 : $y^2 = x^3 + x$, it is easy to compute End(E_0)

It is an exception

For E_0 : $y^2 = x^3 + x$, it is easy to compute End(E_0)

It is an exception

In general:

It is hard to compute End(E)

For E_0 : $y^2 = x^3 + x$, it is easy to compute End(E_0)

It is an exception

In general:

- It is hard to compute End(E)
- ▶ It is hard to find any element of End(E) not in \mathbb{Z}

For E_0 : $y^2 = x^3 + x$, it is easy to compute End(E_0)

It is an exception

In general:

- It is hard to compute End(E)
- It is hard to find any element of End(E) not in \mathbb{Z}

Trapdoor:

• Given $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow E$, easy to compute End(E)

The Endomorphism Ring Problem

Given a supersingular E, compute End(E)

• Hard, unless given $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow E$, where End(E_0) is known

The Endomorphism Ring Problem

Given a supersingular E, compute End(E)

1 heuristic

Given a supersingular E, find a non-trivial endomorphism

• Hard, unless given $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow E$, where End(E_0) is known

AN IDENTIFICATION PROTOCOL

Proving knowledge of an endomorphism ring



Let $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

• Generate a random secret $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public

- Generate a random secret $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)

- Generate a random secret $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?

Let $E_0: y^2 = x^3 + x$

- Generate a random secret $\varphi : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?

Idea first exploited in GPS Signatures in 2017

The isogeny path problem

Given two supersingular E and F, compute an isogeny $\varphi : E \longrightarrow F$

The isogeny path problem

Given two supersingular E and F, compute an isogeny $\varphi : E \longrightarrow F$

Hard, unless both End(E) and End(F) are known

The isogeny path problem

Given two supersingular E and F, compute an isogeny $\varphi : E \longrightarrow F$

- Hard, unless both End(E) and End(F) are known
- Prove knowledge of End(E) by solving such instances?

Let $E_0 : y^2 = x^3 + x$

- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?

 $E_0 \xrightarrow{\text{key } \tau} E_A$

- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?



- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?



- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?



- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?



- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?



- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?



- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?



- Generate a random secret $\tau : E_0 \rightarrow E_A$, make E_A public
- Can compute (secretly) End(E_A)
- Can one prove knowledge of $End(E_A)$?










SPECIAL SOUNDNESS

Special soundness: responding to two distinct challenges (for same commitment) allows to recover the secret



SPECIAL SOUNDNESS

Special soundness: responding to two distinct challenges (for same commitment) allows to recover the secret



Does any information about $End(E_A)$ leak?



Does any information about $End(E_A)$ leak? It depends on how σ is computed...



Does any information about $End(E_A)$ leak? It depends on how σ is computed...



Does any information about $End(E_A)$ leak? It depends on how σ is computed...



Respond $\sigma = \varphi \circ \tau \circ \hat{\psi} : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$

Does any information about $End(E_A)$ leak? It depends on how σ is computed...



- Respond $\sigma = \varphi \circ \tau \circ \hat{\psi} : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$
- It works, but leaks secret $\tau : E_0 \longrightarrow E_A$

Does any information about $End(E_A)$ leak? It depends on how σ is computed...



Respond $\sigma = \varphi \circ \tau \circ \hat{\psi} : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$

It works, but leaks secret $\tau: E_0 \longrightarrow E_A$

Solution: new algorithm to compute response σ independent from the secret (based on new computational assumption)

Main technical difficulty of SQISign

Solution: new algorithm to compute response σ independent from the secret (based on new computational assumption)

SQISIGN IN PRACTICE

How to make it fast







To verify, one has to



To verify, one has to

Evaluate σ and φ



To verify, one has to

- Evaluate σ and φ
- Check that they have the correct domain and codomain



To verify, one has to

Evaluate σ and φ

• Check that they have the correct domain and codomain Efficient verification! (choosing deg(σ) and deg(φ) smooth)

To sign, one has to solve an isogeny path problem:

• Given $\operatorname{End}(E_1)$ and $\operatorname{End}(E_2)$, find $\varphi : E_1 \longrightarrow E_2$

To sign, one has to solve an isogeny path problem:

• Given $End(E_1)$ and $End(E_2)$, find $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$

Asymptotically efficient algorithm, using a variety of other algorithms translating between ideals and isogenies (Deuring correspondence)

To sign, one has to solve an isogeny path problem:

• Given $End(E_1)$ and $End(E_2)$, find $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$

Asymptotically efficient algorithm, using a variety of other algorithms translating between ideals and isogenies (Deuring correspondence)

In practice, they can by very inefficient, using prohibitively large field extensions

To sign, one has to solve an isogeny path problem:

• Given $End(E_1)$ and $End(E_2)$, find $\varphi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$

Asymptotically efficient algorithm, using a variety of other algorithms translating between ideals and isogenies (Deuring correspondence)

In practice, they can by very inefficient, using prohibitively large field extensions

Solution: new algorithmic tools, and a careful choice of the base prime *p*, so extensions are not necessary

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

• $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} - 1 = (p - 1)(p + 1)$

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

How to find a good *p* ?

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

How to find a good p?

• Generate a random smooth integer N

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

How to find a good p?

- Generate a random smooth integer N
- Check if $2^e N 1$ is prime. Then let $p = 2^e N 1$

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

How to find a good p?

- Generate a random smooth integer N
- Check if $2^e N 1$ is prime. Then let $p = 2^e N 1$
- \triangleright p + 1 is smooth!

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

How to find a good p?

- Generate a random smooth integer N
- Check if $2^e N 1$ is prime. Then let $p = 2^e N 1$
- \triangleright p + 1 is smooth!
- Pray that p 1 has a large smooth divisor

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

How to find a good p?

- Generate a random smooth integer N
- Check if $2^e N 1$ is prime. Then let $p = 2^e N 1$
- \triangleright p + 1 is smooth!
- Pray that p 1 has a large smooth divisor

Use Chinese Remainder Theorem to enlarge search space

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

For 128-bit security (NIST's level 1), $p \sim 2^{256}$

Bottleneck of signing: evaluating isogenies of degree T, where

- $2^{e}T$ divides $p^{2} 1 = (p 1)(p + 1)$
- $T \sim p^{3/2}$
- T is as smooth as possible (for efficiency!)

For 128-bit security (NIST's level 1), $p \sim 2^{256}$

We found a prime p such that e = 33, and

 $T = 3^{53} \cdot 43 \cdot 103^2 \cdot 109 \cdot 199 \cdot 227 \cdot 419 \cdot 491 \cdot 569 \cdot 631 \cdot 677 \cdot 857 \cdot 859 \cdot 883 \cdot 1019 \cdot 1171 \cdot 1879 \cdot 2713 \cdot 4283 \cdot 5^{21} \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 31 \cdot 83 \cdot 107 \cdot 137 \cdot 751 \cdot 827 \cdot 3691 \cdot 4019 \cdot 6983$



SQISIGN Compact Post-Quantum Signature From Quaternions and Isogenies

LFANT seminar November 2021 IMB, Bordeaux, France

Based on a joint work with Luca De Feo, David Kohel, Antonin Leroux and Christophe Petit



Benjamin Wesolowski