

Conférence de lancement de l'ANR Ciao, Février 2020, Bordeaux, France

## **VERIFIABLE DELAY FUNCTIONS**



Benjamin Wesolowski

# VERIFIABLE DELAY FUNCTIONS

How to slow things down



#### **VERIFIABLE DELAY FUNCTIONS**

[Boneh, Bonneau, Bünz, Fisch 2018] A VDF is a function that

- Requires time to evaluate (sequential evaluation, and parallelism does not allow to go faster)
- The output can easily be verified

Syntactically:

- setup(T)  $\rightarrow$  public parameters pp
- →  $eval(pp, x) \rightarrow output y$ , proof  $\pi$  (takes time T)

→ **verify**(*pp*, *x*, *y*, 
$$\pi$$
) → {true, false}

#### REQUIREMENTS

We need the following properties:

- Sequentiality: if A is a parallel algorithm such that time(A, x) < T, then A cannot distinguish eval(pp, x) from random
- Uniqueness: if verify(pp, x, y,  $\pi$ ) = verify(pp, x, y',  $\pi'$ ) = true, then y = y'

# PUBLIC RANDOMNESS

A motivation



#### AD HOC "METHODS"



#### A CRYPTOGRAPHIC ATTEMPT

A group G of people want to generate some randomness:

- Each person  $A \in G$  generates privately a random bit-string  $r_A$
- They all broadcast a commitment c(r<sub>A</sub>) (hiding, binding)
- Once all the commitments are distributed, everyone opens

Random value is 
$$r = \bigoplus_{A \in G} r_A$$

'Commit-then-reveal' protocol

#### A CRYPTOGRAPHIC ATTEMPT

- Two rounds
- Does not scale!
- If someone does not open the commitment, need to restart

#### 'Commit-then-reveal' protocol

#### SLOTH AND UNICORN

Solution proposed in [Lenstra, W. 2017]:

Instead of commitments, each party A directly reveals  $r_A$ 

No commitment, so no 'opening' phase

Trouble: last person to reveal has full control of r =  $\bigoplus$  rA... A  $\in$  G

Instead, let  $r = f(r_{A_1} || r_{A_2} || ... || r_{A_n})$ , where f takes **time** to evaluate (in [Lenstra, W. 2017] the *Sloth* function)

If f takes 10 minutes, nobody knows r until 10 minutes after the last reveal: impossible to manipulate r!

#### **VERIFIABLE DELAY FUNCTION**

We want

- f(x) slow to evaluate, even for parties with a lot of parallel power or specialised hardware
- f(x) = y easy to **verify** by anyone

#### Use a verifiable delay function

#### **A VERIFIABLE DELAY FUNCTION** *Slow yet efficient*



#### ITERATED HASHING

What is slow to compute, and cannot be sped up by parallelism? Maybe iterated hashing...

$$x \longrightarrow H(x) \longrightarrow H(H(x)) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow H(\dots H(H(x))\dots) = y$$

- Slow, sequential computation... but how to check f(x) = y?
- No simple and efficient way...

#### TIME LOCK PUZZLE

Drawing inspiration from time-lock puzzles [Rivest, Shamir, Wagner 1996]

- Let *G* be a group of unknown order
- Given  $x \in G$ , computing  $x^{2^T}$  requires T sequential squarings

$$x \longrightarrow x^2 \longrightarrow x^{2^2} \longrightarrow x^{2^3} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow x^{2^7}$$

• The VDF could be  $f(x) = x^{2^{T}}$ , but can this be verified?

Approach of [W. 2019], also taken in [Pietrzak 2019]

#### PROOF OF CORRECT EXPONENTIATION

• Given  $(x, y) \in G$ , Alice wants to prove that  $y = x^{2^T}$ 

Together with  $y = x^{2^{T}}$ , Alice computes a 'proof'  $\pi$ 

Given (x, y,  $\pi$ ), anyone can efficiently verify that  $y = x^{2^T}$ 

- We present the method as an interactive protocol: Alice wants to prove to Bob (the verifier) that  $y = x^{2^{T}}$
- The protocols is then be made non-interactive (Fiat-Shamir...)

#### **INTERACTIVE ARGUMENT**

• Given  $(x, y) \in G$ , Alice wants to prove to Bob that  $y = x^{2^T}$ 



#### NON-INTERACTIVE VDF

The VDF on input  $x \in G$  is the following:

• Compute  $y = x^{2^{T}}$  (slow, sequential part)

→ Let 
$$\ell$$
 = hash\_to\_prime(x,y,T)

→ Find q and r such that  $2^{T} = q\ell + r$ , and  $0 \leq r < \ell$ 

• Compute  $\pi = x^q$  How long does the computation of  $\pi$  take?

→ Output: (y,  $\pi$ ), only 2 group elements

• verify(*pp*, *x*, *y*,  $\pi$ ):  $\pi^{\ell} x^{r} = y$ , only 2 small exponentiations

#### PROPERTIES

	number of group elements	number of group operations		
	Size of proof	Evaluation	Verifier	
Sloth [Lenstra, W. 2017]	1	7	<i>O</i> ( <i>T</i> )	
[Pietrzak 2019]	<b>log(</b> <i>T</i> <b>)</b>	$T(1 + 2/T^{1/2})$	<i>O</i> (log( <i>T</i> ))	
This work [W. 2019]	1	$T(1 + 2/\log(T))$	<i>O</i> (1)	

#### SECURITY

• Given  $(x, y) \in G$ , Alice wants to prove to Bob that  $y = x^{2^T}$ 



#### SECURITY

- Suppose  $y \neq x^{2^{T}}$  (i.e., Alice is dishonest)
- Let  $w = y/x^{2^T} \neq 1_G$
- ▶ Claim: for Alice to convince Bob, she must be able to extract *C*-th roots of *w* with good probability (unpredictable *C*)
- **Proof:** when Bob generates a random  $\ell$ , Alice computes  $\pi$  such that  $\pi^{\ell}x^r = y$  (acceptance condition), where  $2^{\tau} = q\ell + r$ . Let  $\rho = \pi/x^q$ . Then,

$$\varrho^{\ell} = \pi^{\ell} / x^{q\ell} = (y/x^{r}) / x^{q\ell} = y/x^{q\ell+r} = w$$

i.e.,  $\rho$  is an  $\ell$ -th root of w

#### ADAPTIVE ROOT ASSUMPTION

We assume the following game is hard in the group G:

- The player outputs an element  $w \in G$ , other than the neutral element  $1_G$
- > The challenger generates a random (large) prime  $\ell$
- The player has to find an  $\mathscr{C}$ -th root of w (i.e.,  $w^{1/\mathscr{C}}$ )

In which groups does this assumption hold?

# **GROUPS OF UNKNOWN ORDER**

From number theory



#### THE PROBLEM WITH KNOWN ORDER

- Suppose  $w \in G$  has known order n
- For the challenger generates a random (large) prime  ${\ensuremath{\mathscr{C}}}$
- Computing  $k = \ell^{-1} \mod n$  is easy (invertible with overwhelming probability)
- $w^k$  is an  $\mathscr{C}$ -th root of w

#### **RSA GROUPS**

- Let N = pq an RSA modulus
- Without the factorisation of N, order of  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$  is unknown
- We still know the small subgroup  $\{\pm 1\}$ ... trouble
- Use  $G = (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^{\times}/\{\pm 1\}$
- Problem: need to generate N so that nobody knows the factorisation (trusted setup? large random N? MPC?)

#### RSA MPC

Goal of the Ethereum Foundation and Protocol labs, working with Ligero:

- A 2048 bits modulus N, secret factorisation
- ▶ Result of an (n − 1)-maliciously secure MPC
- 1024 participants

#### **CLASS GROUPS**

Let p be a random large prime, K the imaginary quadratic field of discriminant -p, and G its class group

- Computing the order of G is hard (complexity  $L_p(1/2)$ )
- Easy setup! Can even change p at every new evaluation... becomes 'quantum resistant'
- Careful: the 2-torsion is easy to compute

#### **ADAPTIVE ROOT ASSUMPTION**

- Open question: « adaptive root assumption » is not known to be equivalent to finding an element of known order
- It is hard in the generic group model [Boneh, Bünz, Fisch 2018]
- Is it as hard as it looks in RSA groups and class groups? At least, root extraction (non-adaptive) is believed to be hard

## SLOWNESS IN THE REAL WORLD

Practical considerations

#### TIME LOCK ASSUMPTION

Assumption: computing

$$x \longrightarrow x^2 \longrightarrow x^{2^2} \longrightarrow x^{2^3} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow x^{2^T}$$

takes time  $\approx T \times (\text{latency of one squaring in the group})$ 

- What is that latency?
- Can a rich adversary get a much better latency than easily available hardware?

#### Solution: massively invest in building the fastest hardware, and make it widely available

## **\$100,000 COMPETITION**

**Chia Network** organises a VDF competition (second round finished Jul 18 with \$100,000 in total prize money)

- Fastest possible implementation of class group arithmetic
- https://www.chia.net

## \$1,000,000 COMPETITION

Funded 50/50 by the Ethereum Foundation and Protocol Labs

- Fastest possible implementation of modular arithmetic, modulo a 2048-bit RSA modulus
- Latency of 1ns per squaring?
- https://vdfresearch.org

#### **LOWER BOUNDS?**

Let

#### $\mathsf{MODSQ}\operatorname{\mathsf{-MOD2}}_{b,N}: \{0, 1\}^b \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$

the function that sends x to the least significant bit of  $(x^2 \mod N)$ 

**Theorem [W., Williams 2020]:** For all odd  $0 \le N \le 2^b - 1$ , every fan-in two circuit of depth less than  $\log_2(b - O(1))$  fails to compute MODSQ-MOD2<sub>*b*,*N*</sub> on at least 24% of all *b*-bit inputs

In simpler words: A circuit that performs « squaring modulo  $N \gg$  in binary representation reliably has depth at least  $\approx \log_2(b)$ 



Conférence de lancement de l'ANR Ciao, Février 2020, Bordeaux, France

## **VERIFIABLE DELAY FUNCTIONS**



Benjamin Wesolowski